DEPT OF BLDGS 121328205 Job Number

ES476245828 Scan Code

NYC Buildings

CCD1: Construction Code Determination Form

Orient and affix BIS job number label here

Must be typewritten.

1 Location Information *Required for all requests on filed applications.*

House No(s) 217 Street Name West 57th Street

Borough Manhattan Block 1029 Lot 19 BIN 1080870 CB No. 105

2 Applicant Information *Required for all requests on filed applications.*

Last Name Jansen First Name Wiepke David Middle Initial

Business Name AAI Architects, P.C. Business Telephone (416) 967-1500

Business Address 14 Wall Street Business Fax

City New York State N.Y. Zip 10004 Mobile Telephone

E-Mail Nzigamonis@adamson-associates.com License Number 02881

License Type ☐ P.E. ☒ R.A. ☐ RLA **DOB PENS ID # (if available)**

3 Attendee Information *Required if different from Applicant in section 2 or no Applicant.*

Relationship to the property: ☒ Filing Representative ☐ Attorney ☐ Other:

Last Name Silberman First Name Nathan Middle Initial

Business Name Construction Consulting Associates, Inc. Business Telephone (212) 385-1818

Business Address 100 Church Street, Suite 850 Business Fax

City New York State N.Y. Zip 10007 Mobile Telephone

E-Mail Objections@ccacode.com License/Registration # (if P.E./R.A./R.L.A./Attorney)

DOB PENS ID # (if available)

4 Nature of Request *Required for all requests. Only **one** request may be submitted per form.*

Note: Do not use this form for Zoning Resolution determination requests - use ZRD1 form

Determination request issued to: ☐ Borough Commissioner's Office ☒ Technical Affairs

Job associated with this request? ☒ Yes (provide job#/doc#/examiner name below) ☐ No

Job Number: 121328205 Document Number: 01 Examiner: Damian Titus

Has this request been previously denied? ☐ Yes ***(attach all denied request form(s) and attachment(s))*** ☒ No

Indicate total number of pages submitted with this request, including attachments: ***(attachment may not be larger than 11" x 17")***

Construction Code (if applicable): ☐ 2014 Code ☒ 2008 Code ☐ 1968 Code ☐ Prior to 1968 Code

Indicate relevant code section(s), rule(s), etc: 2014 BC 705.12

Indicate all Buildings Department officials that you have previously reviewed this issue with (if any):

☐ Borough Commissioner ☐ Code & Zoning Specialist ☐ General Counsel's Office

☐ Deputy Borough Commissioner ☐ Chief Plan Examiner ☐ Other:

ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY

Reference #: Appointment date: Appointment time:

Appointment Scheduled With:

Comments:

Reviewed By: **Date** **Time:**

REVIEWED BY
Scott D. Pavan, RA

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS

Date: 07/21/2015

5 Description of Request (additional space is available on page 3)

This is a request for:

- ☒ Interpretation or clarification
- ☐ Variation of Building Code or Rules per § 28-103.3 (please state in detail the practical difficulty that is specific to this project, and provide the analysis as to equally safe alternative, as per NYC Charter Section 645(b)(2))
- ☐ Variation of Multiple Dwelling Law (MDL) § 277.16 for Article 7B Buildings (please state in detail the practical difficulty that is specific to this project and provide the analysis as to equally safe alternative, as per NYC Charter Section 645(b)(2))

Note: Variations of any other MDL provisions must be filed with the Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA) per MDL § 310.

Please itemize all attachments, including plans/sketches, submitted with this form. If this is based on a plan examiner objection, type in the applicable objection text exactly as it appears on the objection sheet.

As per (2014) B.C. 705.12, attached please find Fire Engineering Analysis prepared by CCI Code Consultants Professional Engineers, PC.

REVIEWED BY
Scott D. Pavan, RA
Buildings

Note: Buildings Department Determination will be issued on the CCD1 Response Form

ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY		
Reviewed By:	**Date:**	**Time:**

APPROVED
WITH CONDITIONS
CCD1(41229)Page 2 of 12
Date: 07/21/2015

6	**Description of Request** (use this section if additional space is required for description)

Note: Buildings Department Determination will be issued on the CCD1 Response Form

7	**Statements and Signature** *Required for all requests*

I hereby state that all of the above information is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge. Falsification of any statement is a misdemeanor and is punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both. It is unlawful to give to a City employee, or for a City employee to accept, any benefit, monetary or otherwise, either as a gratuity for properly performing the job or in exchange for special consideration. Violation is punishable by imprisonment or fine, or both.

Name (please print) Wiepke David Jansen

Signature

Date June 5 '15

P.E. / R.A. Seal *(apply seal, then sign and date over seal – not required for Attorneys on unfiled applications)*

REVIEWED BY
Scott D. Pavan, RA

	ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY		
	Reviewed By:	**Date:**	**Time:**

APPROVED
WITH CONDITIONS

Date: 07/21/2015

ZRD1/CCD1 Response Form

Location Information (To be completed by a Buildings Department official if applicable)

House No(s) 217 Street Name West 57th Street

Borough Manhattan Block 1029 Lot 19 BIN 1080870 Job No. 12138205

DETERMINATION (To be completed by a Buildings Department official)

Request has been:	☐	Approved	☐	Denied	☒ 8 Approved with conditions
Follow-up appointment required?	☐	Yes	☒	No	

Primary Zoning Resolution or Code Section(s):

Other secondary Zoning Resolution or Code Section(s):

Comments:

The request to accept the attached fire engineering analysis prepared by CCI code consultants dated September 16, 2014 is hereby approved with conditions.

The subject building is a new proposed 95 story Residential high rise building designed in accordance with the 2008 NYC BC and 2008 NYC Fire Code. Proposed is a cantilever over the neighboring low rise buildings on the same zoning lot.

The attached fire engineering analysis will be accepted provided that:
1. The applicant clarifies that in the fire study analysis the fire was applied to the entire roof surface area of the building
2. The applicant clarifies that in the fire study analysis there is no compartmentalization in the existing building.

Such clarifications can be provided via a signed a AI1 submitted to the application.

Name of Authorized Reviewer (please print):

Title (please print):

Authorized Signature: REVIEWED BY Scott D. Pavan, RA Date: Time:

Issuers: write signature, date, and time on each page of the request forms; and attach this form .

Note: Determination will expire if construction document approval is not obtained within 12 months of issuance.

APPROVED
WITH CONDITIONS
CCD1(41229)Page 4 of 12
Date: 07/21/2015

CODE CONSULTANTS
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, PC

215 West 40th Street
15th Floor
New York, NY 10018
212-216-9596 phone
212-216-9619 fax

The Fire Protection and Life Safety Experts

- Code Consultation
- Fire and Egress Modeling
- Accessibility Consultation
- Fire Alarm Design
- Fire Sprinkler Design

September 16, 2014

Mr. Joaquin Stearns
Broadway Trio LLC c/o
Extell Development Company
805 Third Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10022

RE: 217 WEST 57TH STREET RESIDENTIAL TOWER
FIRE & SMOKE MODELING
PROJECT NO. 130866.54.000

Dear Joaquin:

A new 217 West 57th Street Residential Tower is proposed to be built in New York City. The proposed high-rise building will include a portion of the building that cantilevers over an adjacent building. This letter serves as documentation of the fire engineering analysis required for buildings that cantilever over an adjacent building by Section 705.12 of the 2014 NYCBC.

Applicable Codes

It will be necessary for the 217 West 57th Residential Tower to be designed in accordance with the codes adopted by the City of New York and as listed below:

- 2008 New York City Building Code (NYCBC)
- 2008 New York City Fire Code

The project will be permitted in accordance with the 2008 NYCBC which does not specifically address cantilever conditions. However, the most recent edition (2014 NYCBC) of the New York City Building Code includes specific requirements to protect portions of buildings that cantilever over existing buildings. It is anticipated that the DOB will require compliance with these provisions through the variance process.

Code Requirement

Section 705.12 of the 2014 NYCBC states "…cantilevered portions [of a building] shall be protected with construction that conforms to a fire engineering analysis acceptable to the commissioner…" The Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model created for this analysis is intended to address the following criteria set forth in Section 705.12.1.1 of the 2014 NYCBC, which requires:

1. The simulated fire scenario shall run until burn-out with no intervention of the fire department or any fire suppression systems

2. All interior vertical compartmentation, including the entrances to stairways, are removed from the CFD model,

3. The fuel loading exceeds what is expected for the current occupancy by a factor of safety approved by the commissioner

CODE CONSULTANTS
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, PC

Section 705.12.1 of the 2014 NYCBC requires analysis of the following cantilever building elements; frame, structural supports, underside of projecting assemblies, and all exterior walls and openings on all sides. Additionally, the cantilever must adhere with applicable provisions of the NYC Fire Code with respect to access to the building and its roof.

Analysis

The requirements for a fire engineering analysis for cantilevered portions of a building are specified in the 2014 NYCBC. The effects of a potential catastrophic fire on the cantilever from the buildings below were modeled using the computer fire model Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS) that was developed by the Building and Fire Research Laboratory at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). FDS is a CFD model specifically developed for fire applications.

FDS solves a form of the fundamental equations of fluid motion (the Navier-Stokes equations) to calculate conditions in a space due to a fire. This requires the creation of a 3-dimensional computer model of the building. A CFD model works by dividing the space into multiple regions or cells. The equations describing the motion of fire gases are solved for each cell and the combination of the results for all of the cells in the model creates a solution that describes the motion of fire gases around the building. CFD models, such as FDS, require extensive computer resources and must be run on multiple high-powered computer workstations.

Figures 1 below shows the 3-dimensional computer model created for the code permitted scenario and the proposed scenario, respectively. The light blue portion represents the cantilevered portion of the 217 West 57th building (light brown building). The yellow building represents the adjacent building.



Figure 1. Three Views of FDS Model

The adjacent building is an art institute. The expected fuel loads include paper, canvas, paints, and some furniture. The design fire used in the analysis is based on a fuel load density of 661 MJ/m^2, taken from *"Characterizing of Design Fires for Clothing Stores"*, Zalok, E. et al., which is based on fuel loading for a clothing store. This is conservative as the fuel loading in a clothing store is much denser than would be expected in the art institute building adjacent to the 217 West 57th building. Using this value, and an expected fire burn out time of 30 minutes, a heat release rate per unit area of 367 kW/m^2 is calculated. For this analysis, the short anticipated burn out time is conservative because it increases the heat release rate for

the same amount of fuel. The heat release rate per unit area was further increased by a safety factor of 20% to 450 kW/m^2. This results in a design fire size of about 557 MW. The design fire scenario assumes no intervention by building fire sprinkler systems or fire department operations, is modeled as a steady state scenario, with no growth phase or decay phase, and is allowed to continue until steady state conditions are reached. This is conservative and consistent with the requirements of Section 705.12.1.1 of the 2014 NYCBC.

Pass/Fail Criteria

The NYCBC does not provide pass/fail criteria for the evaluation of cantilevered buildings. However, Section 703.2 requires a fire-resistance rated assembly be tested in accordance with ASTM E-119.

ASTM E-119 tests the performance of fire-rated construction by exposing the protected steel member to a a standard time-temperature curve. The standard states that the temperature of the steel member cannot exceed 538°C (1000°F) at any point during the test, over a time equal to the classification. For a 2-hour fire resistance rated structural steel member, such as those required for the 217 West 57th building, the temperature of the steel cannot exceed 538°C for two hours. It should be noted, however, that the simulations were run until steady state conditions were reached, which was less than 2 hours.

In addition, a heat flux of 10 kW/m^2 will be used to determine if a design fire has the potential to ignite adjacent combustibles A heat flux of 10 kW/m^2 is identified by NFPA 92 as a conservative threshold for the ignition of combustibles.

Results

The images below show the heat flux on the cantilevered section of the 217 West 57th building. Each snapshot is at the time of greatest heat flux for the specific location indicated in the Figure description. Figure 2 shows the maximum heat flux on the underside of the cantilever, and Figure 3 shows the maximum heat flux on the other exterior walls.

CODE CONSULTANTS
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, PC



Figure 2. Maximum Heat Flux at Underside of Cantilever



Figure 3. Heat Flux Scaled for Exterior Walls

As shown in Figure 2, the heat flux on the underside of the cantilever approaches a peak value of 6 kW/m².

The remaining sides of the cantilever, seen in Figure 3, show a heat flux nearing 4.3 kW/m^2. Figure 4 below shows the surface temperature of the cantilever.



Figure 4. Surface Temperature

As shown in Figure 4 above, the temperature of the underside reaches a maximum of 186˚F while the rest of the cantilever reaches a maximum temperature of 138˚F. Temperature and heat flux values are measured at points that are evenly distributed across each surface. To provide the most conservative view of the data, the graphs below in Figure 6 use the point with the largest value for that given time and location (exterior walls or underside) as each plotted data point.



Figure 5: Temperature of cantilevered building



Figure 6. Heat flux on cantilevered building

CODE CONSULTANTS
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, PC

As expected, the underside of the cantilevered portion yields the highest values for temperature and heat flux illustrated by the table in Figure 7. The maximum surface temperature of the underside has a Factor of Safety of 537% when using the benchmark of 1000 °F, the temperature set by ASTM E-119, *Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials*, for when structural steel becomes weakened by elevated temperatures, and the heat flux remains well below that needed to ignite ordinary combustibles.

	Maximum Heat Flux	Maximum Surface Temperature
Underside	5.27 kW/m^2	186.1 °F
Exterior Walls	2.59 kW/m^2	137.7 °F

Figure 7. Table of Results from CFD Simulation

Summary

The results of the analysis demonstrate that the proposed condition will meet 2014 NYCBC provisions for portions of a building that cantilever over existing buildings. In addition, the analysis shows that openings can be permitted in the exterior walls of the cantilevered building, without igniting combustible materials in the 217 West 57th building.

Please contact me at your convenience with any questions or comments.

Very truly yours,

Jason Daniels, LEED AP
Project Manager

CODE CONSULTANTS
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, P.C.

Kevin D. Morin, PE
Principal

JD:crw

130866/54/Letters/130866.54.000 217 West 57th Residential CFD 2014-09-16.docxl

STATE OF NEW YORK
KEVIN D. MORIN
084640
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
2/20/2015

REVIEWED BY
Scott D. Pavan, RA

APPROVED
WITH CONDITIONS
CCD1(41229)Page 11 of 12
Date: 07/21/2015

REVIEWED BY
Scott D. Pavan, RA

APPROVED
WITH CONDITIONS

Date: 07/21/2015

121328205

NYC Buildings

AI1: Additional Information

Must be typewritten.

Orient and affix BIS job number label here

Page number 1 of 1 BIS Document No. 01

1 Location and Job Information *Required for **all** applications.*

12217

House No(s) 217 Street Name West 57th Street

Borough Manhattan Block 1029 Lot 19 BIN 1080870 CB No. 105

2 Revisions to Plans/Drawings *Required whenever updating plans. All revisions for each page must be clearly described in section 3.*

Submission is part of a Post Approval Amendment (PAA)? ☐ Yes *PW1 required* ☒ No *Indicate all actions for this submission:*

Action	Original/New/ Omit Page ID	Superseding Page ID	Action	Original/New/ Omit Page ID	Superseding Page ID	Action	Original/New/ Omit Page ID	Superseding Page ID	Action	Original/New/ Omit Page ID	Superseding Page ID

For "Action" use "N" for new page, "S" for superseding page, "O" for omitting page. Is this section continued on additional AI1 forms? ☐ Yes ☐ No

3 Additional Information *Required for **all** applications.*

Filed herewith clarification to conditions stated on response to Control # 41229.

1. The Fire Engineering Analysis was prepared with the fire applied to the entire roof surface area of the building, and

2. There was no compartmentation in the building.

Falsification of any statement is a misdemeanor and is punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both. It is unlawful to give to a city employee, or for a city employee to accept, any benefit, monetary or otherwise, either as a gratuity for properly performing the job or in exchange for special consideration. Violation is punishable by imprisonment or fine or both. I understand that if I am found after hearing to have knowingly or negligently made a false statement or to have knowingly or negligently falsified or allowed to be falsified any certificate, form, signed statement, application, report or certification of the correction of a violation required under the provisions of this code or of a rule of any agency, I may be barred from filing further applications or documents with the Department.

Name (please print) Kevin M[illegible]

Signature

Date 7/29/15

P.E. / R.A. Seal *(apply seal and date over seal)*

STATE OF NEW YORK
KEVIN D. MORIN
084640
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER